(Spl.-H.C.A.S.,C.D.,78-e)

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
APPELLATE SIDE

CIVIL APPLICATION (REVIEW) NO.13 OF 2004
IN PIL WRIT PETITION NO. 304 OF 2004

Office Note, Office Memoranda of Quorum, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's Orders

Applicant in person.

Mr A.N.S. Nadkarni, Advocate General with Mr. N. Takkekar, Addl. Govt. Advocate for respondent no.1.

Mr. V. P. Thali, advocate for respondent no.2.

Mr. S. S. Kantak, advocate for respondent no.3.

Mr. A D. Bhobe, advocate for respondent no.4.

CORAM : B. H. MARLAPALLE &
N. A. BRITTO, JJ.

DATE : 6th December, 2004.

P.C.:

Heard Mr. Pai, the party in person. We have also gone through the grounds for review. Mr. Pai has pointed out his apprehension that the provisions which are not applicable for high rise buildings regarding set backs. etc. and fire safety equipment installations may be mis-interpreted and made applicable to such high rise

buildings like the hotel in question. This apprehension is not well-founded in view of the directions given by us in para 6 in respect of the high rise hotel building as well as other high rise buildings (paras 6 and 7).

Hence, the application is rejected summarily.

B. H. MARLAPALLE, J.

N. A. BRITTO, J.

mc.